Boorlas – Barclay – 4/28

Boorlas – Barclay – Mich

Says (1) UK-FTC no dialogue yet. MB proposes to recommend to Copeland (honorable, worthy of our respect and trust). I told him about our conversation with Baird (i.e., ok to give GC FTC's [illegible] reports)

(2) UK test of GC's holder (not sid. PM or Lothman's holders which are identical in principle) – results to be drawn after 1, 3 and 6 months. Will know after 1.

(3) Australian chemist knows nothing of controversy until recently, dedicated would probably view UK resolution as precedent. Barclay's test market in Australia but carries no "tax" claim or disclosure (though expected to do so beginning in August).

(4) MB – UK
HG – Fr., W Ger

(5) MB favors GC holder b/c "neutral". Says difference from PM holder extremely small (1 mm), though Cambridge filter apparatus somewhat different as between U.S. and Europe.

(6) I agreed to call MB back Thursday w/ our views as to how to encourage dialogue between countries.

JIC Caution re when to put FTC + UK together. Priorities: (1) press release, (2) UK holder, (3) PM adopter

20250465 62

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/ssdb0003

LM, PM, WEC - 4/28 - 12:00

Reg holder - UK
Filtron " - Engel US

UK study - in progress? Not clear. May need holders to proceed.

PM version has some advantages
UK version not usable as is.

LM 11 grams, charges easier to take apart & clean

* will make UK holders, but prepare to submit ours to FTC & Copeland.

Questions:
① Is UK study underway? If so, will need identical holder.
② How to submit PM variation to UK, FTC?

JIC

Mitchell - Lill - 3 alter. versions - Horizon position unclear.
We can't control - Shd go alone tomorrow - only alternative 3.
Discuss w/ AH.
Tell RJR, Snyder (re similar copy).

4/29 AH -
Snyder → Copeland, rather than vice versa.

2025046563

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/ssdb0003